

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,635	02/07/2001		Thomas J. Colson	822.000418	9591
24041	7590	01/04/2006	EXAMINER		INER
		SON, PLLC	TARAE, CATHERINE MICHELLE		
5555 MAIN STREET WILLIAMSVILLE, NY 14221-5406				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3623	· ·

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notice of Abandonment	09/778,635	COLSON ET AL.				
Nouce of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	C. Michelle Tarae	3623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	<u> </u>				
This application is abandoned in view of:						
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on, but it does need to a proposed reply was received on 	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	,				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T	The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all of				
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a represo	entative capacity under 37 CFR				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim	ence rendered on and because ns.	e the period for seeking court review				
7. The reason(s) below:						
	1 11	' ハ'				
TARIO R. HAFIZ						
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER						
	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw	w the holding of abandonment under 37 C	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to				